

TO: JP Tindell, Nevada County Planning Department

RE: Data Response on Application 99-09-053
Data Request #6

DATE: Revised January 23, 2001

REQUEST: Submitted 1/4/01
JP Tindell, Recreation Planner
Nevada County Planning Department, 950 Maidu Avenue,
Nevada City, CA 95959, jp.tindell@co.nevada.ca.us

1. Names/Credentials of the individuals and/or firms that prepared each of the 16 DEIR Topic Area Analyses.

Response 1.

Section 7, Report Preparation of the Draft Environmental Impact Report lists the names, firm, and credentials for the individuals who prepared the Draft Environmental Impact Report. Note that an asterisk indicates the technical section authors.

2. Copy of the in-effect PG&E Recreation Plans associated with FERC project numbers 2310 and 1403.

Response 2.

The Narrow's project (1403) does not have a FERC recreation plan probably due to limited recreational opportunities associated with the project. The recreation plan for Drum/Spaulding (2310) was revised in 1994 and was sent under separate cover to J.P. Tindell, Nevada County Planning Department.

3. Numbers of acres and PG&E facilities in Nevada County only (within the larger Drum Regional Bundle). Our data on PG&E owned lands in Nevada County = 10,800. Information provided to us by EIR consultants indicated that total acres involved in the Hydrodivestiture in our county = 10,500. We want to understand the difference between these figures, and the approximate 18,600 total acres in the divestiture proposal within the Drum Regional Bundle.

Response 3.

According to GIS data, provided to the CEQA team by the Applicant, the total amount of lands and Pacific Gas and Electric Company's facilities in Nevada County totals 10,619 acres.

We are not sure what the reference is to 18,600 acres. Our guess is that the 18,600 acreage calculation comes from Table 2-1 in the Draft EIR (adding together the acres from the South Yuba Bear River (2310) and North Yuba River (1403) projects). Since the South Yuba-Bear River is on the border separating

Nevada and Placer County, some of the lands associated with the divestiture are located in Placer County and some of the lands are located in Nevada County, which explains the difference between the 10,619 acres in Nevada County and the total of 18,601 (as shown in Table 2-1 for the two licenses described above)..

4. In addition to these requests, we are interested to look at the Best Management Practices (BMPs) referenced in App. D (p.4).

Response 4.

A copy of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Best Management Practices was sent to the Nevada County Planning Department.

To request a copy of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Best Management Practices, email rmorgenstern@aspeneg.com